


No. 4:11-CR-00087-FL-1

## ORDER

Defendant filed the instant motion for clarification, in which Defendant seeks to know whether he must file a motion to obtain a copy of the arrest warrant and report, and asks the court how he can obtain the assistance of a handwriting expert. Def.'s Mot. [DE-131] at 1. To the extent that Defendant is asking the court to order the Government to provide any evidence to Defendant or to appoint an expert, Defendant's request is denied. Defendant was given additional time to provide the court with further evidence or legal arguments if he desires. This evidence might include, for example, written affidavits from witnesses Defendant attempted to subpoena to testify during the hearing. This additional time period is not intended to conduct further discovery or seek further information from the Government. Further, the arrest warrant

and report are not germane to Defendant's motion for return of property, where the only issue for resolution is the amount of money seized from Defendant at the time of his arrest. Additionally, Defendant's argument as to inconsistent handwriting was fully made and developed during the hearing, and the court sees no reason to provide expert testimony on this issue.

SO ORDERED, this the 4 day of June 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert B. Jones, Jr.  
United States Magistrate Judge